

Corona vaccines - the litmus test: How confident is your doctor in their safety? by VisionBlue.info



I must admit, I have not been to my family doctor for over five years. This would no longer be possible, since she had to close her practice the year before last due to a serious illness. Nevertheless, I did not have to see another doctor because I simply did not get sick. At my employer, the statistics of sick days with an AU certificate have been zero for five years! How is that?, some will ask! My answer: I use prophylactically chlorine dioxide solution (CDL)! I do not want to roll out this with the CDL here further, since I wrote about it already here. However, I am increasingly confronted with the situation that I am to be forced by some law in DE, which is contrary to international law and constitutional law, to have a gene injection with the mRNA vaccines against COVID-19; otherwise I will be exposed to state sanctions (coercion)! So, as a fully qualified lawyer with professional experience since 1993, how would I react here? Anyone can make the litmus test by presenting [the following paper](#) to the doctor of his confidence for signature before the injection:

Declaration of assumption of liability

I, the undersigned, am the attending physician within the meaning of Section 630d of the German Civil Code (BGB) and, in order to obtain consent from the patient in accordance with Section 630d, Paragraph 1, Sentence 1 of the German Civil Code (BGB), declare the following

....., born on,
resident:, as follows:

1. I am aware that the mRNA vaccine Comirnaty /Spikevax (delete as applicable) to be used by me for preventive treatment against COVID-19 has received only an emergency marketing authorization from the European Medicines Agency (EMA) and that the final evaluation of the safety and efficacy of these vaccines according to Section II, Letter E. of the EPAR Product Information has yet to be demonstrated by the manufacturers of the above vaccines by submitting to the EMA a final clinical study report on the randomized, placebo-controlled and observer-blind studies by July 31, 2024 by BioNTech and September 30, 2022 by Moderna.

2. Thus, I am aware that this is an experimental preventive medical treatment.

3. I am aware through the product information for the above-mentioned mRNA vaccines that the use of the same is to be refrained from in patients who are allergic to the ingredients listed in section 6 of the product information. In this respect, I declare that I have not examined the vaccination capability of the above-mentioned patient and waive the presentation of a certificate of vaccination capability by a specialist in allergology prior to treatment.

4. I am aware that the nanolipids ALC 0315 and ALC 0159 (Comirnaty) and SM-102 (Spikevax) used in the vaccines are labeled by various manufacturers in the safety data sheets as not to be used in humans or to be used for experimental purposes only.

5. I am also aware that there are no studies on the part of the manufacturers on possible interactions of the vaccines with other medicines, which are currently to be taken on medical prescription. I am also aware that the mRNA vaccines should be used with caution in patients suffering from thrombocytopenia or coagulopathy, and that no studies of possible interactions with the mRNA vaccines have taken place in patients with immunodeficiency or patients treated with immunosuppressants. I also refrain from preliminary cardiological examinations of the vaccinee, although the manufacturers of the mRNA vaccines have pointed out an increased risk of contracting myocarditis and pericarditis after administration of the respective mRNA vaccine.

6. In view of the above, I am nevertheless willing to perform the preventive experimental treatment by injection of the selected mRNA vaccine on the patient subject to the following declaration of liability.

7. I assume liability for all possible physical and financial consequences for the above-mentioned patient in the event of adverse reactions and/or side effects caused by the vaccine used, such as possible disability, reduction in quality of life and possible chronic suffering. This liability is also assumed if, in addition to myself, an official liability of the authority commissioning me with the vaccination comes into consideration. The liability also exists in the case of non-provided coverage of a possibly existing liability insurance.

8. In case of death of the patient as a result of the vaccination, I will fulfill all claims for damages against the patient's heirs.

9. In case of adverse reactions and/or side effects caused by the vaccine used, it is my responsibility to prove that these were not caused by the preventive experimental treatment with the above-mentioned vaccine.

....., the addressable address of the treating person(s)

.....
Signature of the treating person(s)

Why actually do I, since I do not want to be injected with genes, suggest such a thing? Well ... it may well be a wake-up call for the doctor you trust! Something like this just happened to a good friend of mine, to whom I had dictated this declaration of liability into her PC. What happened? The good friend, who is being bullied by her employer

for being unvaccinated, jumped over her shadow today. She presented her family doctor, who had made a vaccination appointment with her, with the liability assumption statement I had written and said that the statement written by her lawyer friend needed to be signed to make it legally safe. The doctor read it and got wide eyed. Then said she couldn't sign that. But she then got friendly as shit and gave my good friend her sick note for burn out, the real reason for the presentation. The doctor was visibly thrown in at the deep end and will surely now wonder if she now has a problem since she had previously vaccinated umpteen other patients with the mRNA vaccines.

Yes, I would present this liability assumption statement to any physician who is thinking of putting the needle in and injecting these mRNA vaccines. I reproduce it below in its entirety:

Liability Assumption Statement

I, the undersigned, am a treating physician in the sense of § 630d BGB (German Civil Code) and declare, in order to obtain consent according to § 630d paragraph 1 sentence 1 BGB, from the patient

....., born on, resident:, as follows:

1. I am aware that the mRNA vaccine Comirnaty /Spikevax (delete as applicable) to be used by me for preventive treatment against COVID-19 has received only an emergency marketing authorization from the European Medicines Agency (EMA) and that the final evaluation of the safety and efficacy of these vaccines according to Section II, Letter E. of the EPAR Product Information has yet to be demonstrated by the manufacturers of the above vaccines by submitting to the EMA a final clinical study report on the randomized, placebo-controlled and observer-blind studies by July 31, 2024 by BioNTech and September 30, 2022 by Moderna.

2. Thus, I am aware that this is an experimental preventive medical treatment.

3. I am aware through the product information for the above-mentioned mRNA vaccines that the use of the same is to be refrained from in patients who are allergic to the ingredients listed in section 6 of the product information. In this respect, I declare that I have not examined the vaccination capability of the above-mentioned patient and waive the presentation of a certificate of vaccination capability by a specialist in allergology prior to treatment.

4. I am aware that the nanolipids ALC 0315 and ALC 0159 (Comirnaty) and SM-102 (Spikevax) used in the vaccines are labeled by various manufacturers in the safety data sheets as not to be used in humans or to be used for experimental purposes only.

5. I am also aware that there are no studies on the part of the manufacturers on possible interactions of the vaccines with other medicines, which are currently to be taken on medical prescription. I am also aware that the mRNA vaccines should be used with caution in patients suffering from thrombocytopenia or coagulopathy, and that no studies of possible interactions with the mRNA vaccines have taken place in patients with immunodeficiency or patients treated with immunosuppressants. I also

refrain from preliminary cardiological examinations of the vaccinee, although the manufacturers of the mRNA vaccines have pointed out an increased risk of contracting myocarditis and pericarditis after administration of the respective mRNA vaccine.

6. In view of the above, I am nevertheless willing to perform the preventive experimental treatment by injection of the selected mRNA vaccine on the patient subject to the following declaration of liability.

7. I assume liability for all possible physical and financial consequences for the above-mentioned patient in the event of adverse reactions and/or side effects caused by the vaccine used, such as possible disability, reduction in quality of life and possible chronic suffering. This liability is also assumed if, in addition to myself, an official liability of the authority commissioning me with the vaccination comes into consideration. The liability also exists in the case of non-provided coverage of a possibly existing liability insurance.

8. in case of death of the patient as a result of the vaccination, I will fulfill all claims for damages against the patient's heirs.

9. in case of adverse reactions and/or side effects caused by the vaccine used, it is my responsibility to prove that these were not caused by the preventive experimental treatment with the above-mentioned vaccine.

....., the summonable address of the treating person(s)

.....

Signature of the treating person(s)

In conclusion, it must be said that both the manufacturers of the mRNA vaccines and the government are abdicating their responsibility if they place the responsibility for any vaccine damage solely on the physicians. The physicians, in turn, will want to exclude their liability via patient consent forms and the educational fact sheet. The loser would ultimately be the patient, who gave his consent in good faith and would have to explain and prove afterwards that the vaccination was the cause of his suffering. Now, as a lawyer, I would want to establish a little parity here, because if the doctor is so convinced of the efficacy and harmlessness of the drug he administered (Corona vaccine), then he should also be obligingly responsible for it by way of assumption of liability.

Legal notice:

All illustrations are for reporting purposes only and not for exploitation in the sense of copyright law. All representations made in this blog reflect my personal opinion. This expression of opinion is protected by the Basic Law for the Federal Republic of Germany. This blog is a purely private blog.